SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	SINDH BANK LIMITED/ADMINISTRATION	
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	SCHEDULED BANK	
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	Renovation of Tara Garh Branch, Kasur	
4)	TENDER NUMBER	SNDB/COK/ADMIN/TD/831/2017	
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Same as Above	
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	Competent Authority	
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE		
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE	Rs. 1,879,770/-	
,	(For civil works only)		
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PI	ER CONTRACT) 45 Days	
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	27/10/2017 At 1600 Hours	
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD	02	
	(Attach list of buyers)		
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	02	
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE	TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 02	
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT	10/11/2017Attached	
	(Enclose a copy)	MIS Memar Associates, 98- A, Saced Golony.	
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSF	TULBIODER Fahad Street, Canal Roads faisalaba	
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs. 1,812,200/-	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EV	VALUATION REPORT	
	(i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID).	1) M/s. Memar Associates	
		1) M/s. Z.A Costruction	
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tic	ck one)	
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE		
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE	
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
		METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.	
	EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING	GETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:	

19) APPR	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT				
20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	N ANN	Yes No		
21) ADVERTISEMENT:					
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	SPPRA S. No:26428/2016 (PQ. Notice) SPPRA S. No:34785/2017 (I1th Oct 2017)		
		No			
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	Express Tribune, Daily Express, Daily Ibrat (10th Feb 2016)		
	. ()	No			
22) NATU	JRE OF CONTRACT		Domestic/ Local ✓ Int.		
WAS	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN s, enclose a copy)	ITS?	Yes No		
WAS	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN s, enclose a copy)	TS?	Yes / No		
25) WHE	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI' HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDE	IY WA	S OBTAINED FOR USING A Yes No		
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No					
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)					
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?					
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No No					
	THER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO TRACT?	BIDD	ERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF		
	ch copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes / No		